

Why George Clooney Tried To Silence Conservatives

*In case you haven't seen it, George Clooney just came out with a "public service announcement," supposedly launching his new non-profit organization aimed at eliminating or at least reducing the lack of intelligence in the world; or as he puts it, the amount of DUMBF**KERY.*

This nascent organization is clearly aimed at conservatives, or perhaps what leftists think conservatives are. The three examples of "work" to be accomplished by Clooney's organization are convincing people that climate change is real, they should vaccinate their children and that dinosaurs existed, but not at the same time as people.

As with just about anything else coming out of the leftist excuse for comedy or the political left these days, the video is laced with profanity. Apparently Clooney, like many others, can't think clearly enough to say anything, without having to resort to profanity. In my mind, this alone proves that he hasn't thought through his position on anything, as if he had, he might actually be able to articulate his arguments for what he believes, rather than resorting to name calling and profanity to express himself.



**What Really Happens When
You Burry A Shipping
Container?**

[Watch Video>>](#)

I seriously doubt that this is anything more than a publicity stunt, especially as there is no such organization as UDUMB**S

anywhere where Google can find it. The phone number they use, 1-800-720-0622 is one that is commonly used on the Jimmy Kimmel show for fake ads being run for fake businesses. If you call it, all you get is an answering machine.

So if this isn't to be taken seriously, what exactly is it?

Considering that it came out of Hollywood, we can't overlook that it's about virtue signaling. After all, isn't Hollywood the capital of that leftist game? Hollywood celebs use virtue signaling to give themselves relevance, at least in their own minds, keeping their names and faces before their adoring fans.

But I think there's more to this; especially if we take the time to really look at it.

First

First of all, this is typical of those on the left in several ways. As with much else that they say, it's more about name calling, than it is about anything else. We've seen this over and over again, especially in the last decade or so. Whenever the left doesn't have a real argument to stand on, they resort to name calling. It doesn't matter if the name is "racist," "homophobe," "islamophobe," "deplorable" or whatever, it's still name calling.

Regardless of whatever else it is, name calling is a form of bullying. The left loves to call words "violence," so let's hold them accountable for their own violence. Bullying is about getting the other person to do what you want them to, whether that means giving you their lunch money or shutting you up in the public forum.

All too many people care about being labeled by these hateful

names, so this form of bullying is highly effective. It's even more effective with public figures, who think that they can't afford bad press. They've obviously not paid any attention to how little bad press has affected President Trump. Even with the mainstream leftist media working overtime to try and make him look bad, calling him every name in the book, they still haven't been able to affect his ratings, except within the ranks of those who already hate him.

How To Build a Small Bunker in Your Backyard with \$400

So George Clooney is proving that he is as much of a bully as Hillary Clinton. The only real difference, besides him not being a politician, is that his characterization of conservatives is a bit more vulgar than Hillary's "deplorables" name. Other than that, he's doing essentially the same thing.

Really, the whole video is an effort at bullying, trying to silence conservative voices. The left is much better at using the media in this way than we on the right, simply because they control it. If a conservative was to try and put out something akin to Clooney's video, they would find themselves in Facebook jail, their videos removed from YouTube and their Twitter account closed. If you don't believe me, just look at what has been happening to the Prager U videos, and those don't attack anyone directly like this video does.

Secondly

Secondly, Clooney is proving quite clearly that he doesn't have a leg to stand on, scientifically speaking. If he did, he'd give us that information, rather than a blanket statement that "the science is settled." If there's anything I've learned about science in my life, it's that if anyone claims "the science is settled," they don't know much about science.

True scientists will always make the disclaimer that their conclusions are based upon the best information they have available at that time. Even Darwin did that, in the appendix of his "Origin of Species." He listed about 20 things that had to be discovered by science, in order to prove his theory. None of those have been discovered to date; but at least he was intellectually honest enough to state that he was presenting a theory, not a proven fact.

Thirdly

Thirdly, Clooney is proving that he, like everyone else on the left, has an issue with self-esteem. Anyone who has to put others down, in order to lift themselves up, suffers from this malady. We see it on display all the time from the left. But most of us miss it for what it is.

How many times have you seen those on the left, especially politicians or those talking about leftist politicians, talking about how much smarter they are than anyone else. Obama was touted as being brilliant by his followers; so brilliant, in fact, that nobody else could possibly see the vision he had for the country.

This is just part of the elitist attitude of the left. They can't stand up intellectually in a debate, so they declare themselves the winners, by claiming that others aren't smart enough to understand them. But as former president Ronald Reagan said, "The trouble with our liberal friends is not that they're ignorant; it's just that they know so much that isn't so." If they actually knew as much as they claim to, they might be able to solve some problems, instead of just causing them.

Sadly for our friends on the left, declaring yourself the winner, or in this case, declaring yourself smarter than anyone else, doesn't make it so. It is only true when the other side capitulates and declares it to be. But with the

drivel coming out of the left, I don't expect to see that happen any time soon.

Finally

Finally, I've got to say, Clooney once again proved that those on the left are quick to accept, adopt and promote the party line, whatever it is. They don't bother to fact check anything; but rather expect "fact check" organizations that are in bed with their sources to check it for them. As long as it fits the leftist narrative, it has to be true.

Facebook and Twitter?

Clooney's chosen method of solving the problem with ignorance is itself ignorant. Social media isn't an effective platform to convince anyone of anything. So if he were to actually attempt to do what he claims he's going to do, it wouldn't accomplish anything. I'll give him the benefit of the doubt and say that he knows that.

I long ago gave up responding to political prods on social media. Oh, I'll occasionally troll someone, if they say something or post a meme that's so obviously wrong that I can't resist; but that's trolling, not arguing or debating. I'll leave the social media outrage for the outrage crowd. I have better things to do with my time.

Back to the Science

Let me go back to the science for a minute. Clooney stated three things that were "settled science" that he wanted to combat with his supposed new organization: unbelief in climate change, vaccinations and the time of the dinosaurs. Interestingly enough, none of those can be accurately labeled as "settled science." There is considerable disagreement on

all three.

But if Clooney wants a scientific discussion, I think it's only fair to give him one. I doubt he'll like it and I'm sure he'll try to ridicule it; but here goes anyway.

Climate Change

Of the three, climate change is probably the most controversial and most widely discussed "scientific issue" that Clooney mentions. Like anyone else who touts man-made climate change or man-made global warming, he makes it clear that anyone who doesn't agree is an idiot for not accepting "settled science." The only thing he didn't do was quote the oft-used but debunked statistic that "97% of scientists agree." That's not even true if you say it as "97% of climate scientists agree."

Does the climate change? Absolutely; it has been changing all through history. That's why the world has had ice ages. But that doesn't mean that man-made climate change exists. Those ice ages and the warming periods between them existed long before the industrial age had a chance to put so much "harmful" CO₂ into the atmosphere.

By the way, the whole idea that CO₂ is a problem is based on some really shaky grounds. Carbon dioxide is needed for plant life. Plants breathe in carbon dioxide and breathe out oxygen, much like animals (and people) breathe in oxygen and breathe out carbon dioxide. In fact, plant life would thrive under a higher CO₂ concentration in our atmosphere, which means that they would in turn create more oxygen for animals to breathe. In other words, increasing the CO₂ levels would help both plant and animal life.

So what's all the fuss about? Supposedly, according to computer models, the world's climate will raise by 2°C by the end of the century. So why is that a problem? The temperature

fluctuates more than that on a regular basis. For that matter, that still won't bring us up to the average temperature during the last warming phase. So where's the problem? How can they say that the world is going to end for 2°C?

Have you ever noticed how the global warming crowd never provides any evidence? They love to point to the shrinking Arctic ice cap, ignoring that the Antarctic ice cap is growing faster than the Arctic is shrinking. They love to point to phony population counts, showing that the polar bears are dying because their habitat is shrinking. But they have no proof that those things are caused by the global warming that they're complaining about. It's just fear mongering.

Oh and the Paris Accords that the left likes to complain that Trump pulled us out of... they're phony anyway. If everything they talk about in those accords is done, it will only make a 0.2°C difference in the supposed temperature rise. To accomplish that, they need to impoverish the world, redistributing money and lining the pockets of politicians with it. But here's the juicy part; it requires additional agreements that will be signed after 2040 and which haven't even been thought up yet.

The only country which met its 2018 goals for the Paris Accord is the country which pulled out of it, the United States of America. But you know something? That doesn't matter. China increased their carbon footprint by more than we reduced ours in the same time.

If you want to see any real information about the climate change hoax, check out Dr. Patrick Moore's videos on the subject. He was one of the original founders of Greenpeace and knows more about the global warming or climate change hoax than anyone else I've heard.

Vaccinations

I'll give credit to Clooney for this one, fear of vaccinations at least sounds a little more fishy than not believing climate change. I know a few people who believe this one and I have to say that from what I've personally seen, the science is not settled.

The fear is that vaccinations can cause children to become autistic. It's actually not the active ingredient in the vaccination which does this, but rather something in the carrier, whether it is the carrier itself or a preservative. I don't know enough to say which it is. But there have been enough children who have developed autism just after receiving one of the many childhood vaccinations to give this one some credibility. I even know one family, whose little boy had this happen to him.

There is no question that vaccines help protect society from some rather severe plagues. What isn't as commonly known is that all vaccines have some risk associated with them, just like all medicines do. My own son is allergic to some vaccines. What is not known is whether the vaccines can cause autism.

Sadly, there has been enough disinformation on this issue and enough rumors of medical studies being buried, that we may never know if the risk is there or not. So, some parents choose not to vaccinate their children, deciding that the risk from the vaccine is greater than the risk of their child catching a mostly eradicated disease. It's a hard call to make; but it's their choice as a parent to make it.

Dinosaurs

The last claim of Clooney is a snarky statement that some people (probably referring to Christians) don't believe that dinosaurs existed. He goes on to state that they did exist,

but not at the same time as mankind. There is plenty of room for arguing this second part.

First of all, the fossil evidence makes it clear that dinosaurs did exist. I don't personally know of anyone who doesn't believe that nor of any church that tries to teach that they didn't exist. The closest you can get is for churches to teach that the world was populated between Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 and that the dinosaurs lived during that time.

The other thing that is said about dinosaurs is that they lived in the pre-flood world. That is most likely true. Anyone who has studied Old Testament history knows that people lived considerably longer before the flood in Noah's time, than after it. Creation scientists have studied scripture and the geological record and have proposed reasons why this was so. Some experimentation, using enclosed environments, has even been done on some animals, causing them to live longer and grow larger than normal.

The word "dinosaur" comes from the Latin for "thunder lizard." Lizards, as we should all remember from biology class, are reptiles. Of all animals living on the Earth, reptiles are unique. They are the only ones which continue to grow throughout their life.

So, if you combine reptiles ability to grow throughout their life with an environment which stimulates growth much better than our current environment and a longer life, what do you get? You get huge lizards, along with huge snakes as well.

Interestingly enough, there are many species of lizards today, which look amazingly similar to scientists' renderings of how they believe some of the dinosaurs looked. The only difference is, they are much smaller. So, in that pre-flood world, it is quite possible that there were dinosaurs walking the earth and that those dinosaurs are still here. We just don't recognize

them because they are small.

Oh, by the way, on the other part, that of dinosaurs and humans living at different times, I'd really like to hear Clooney's explanation for the human footprints found inside of dinosaur footprints at the Paluxy River, near Glen Rose, Texas. George, in case you read this, calling it a fake won't do.

Kudos to George Clooney

It's clear to me at least, that this video is nothing more than a humorous attempt to grab the nation's attention. For that, I have to give kudos to George Clooney. He succeeded. Enjoy your moment in the spotlight, George; I'm not sure you're going to have all that many more.



**US Nuclear Target Map.
Do You Live In The Death
Zone?**

[Watch Video>>](#)