

The EPA's Latest Land Grab

It's been clear that the executive branch of the federal government is working overtime to extend their authority. This started long before Obama became president, but it has accelerated at an alarming rate since he took office. Obama's administration has put out more regulations per week than any before, each of which extends their authority to reach into people's lives and control them and their businesses.

While the IRS has the reputation of being the most insidious of all government agencies, I'd have to say that the EPA is working hard to stay a close second. What started as a government agency to protect our corner of the world has become the political arm of the environmental movement.

That's scary enough in and of itself. There aren't many special interest groups that have control of a government agency, but the EPA has pretty much been taken over by environmentalists. Oh, they don't "officially" own the EPA, but they pretty much dictate what the EPA does.

We see this no more clearly than watching how Obama's EPA is working hand-in-hand with radical environmentalists to force the country into green energy, by making it either illegal or [impossible to use cheaper sources of energy](#).

The EPA cares no more for your and my interests than those environmentalists do. Their focus is on taking the world back from man and giving it back to nature. It seems like if a few billion people have to die to do that, then as far as they're concerned, no problem.

{adinsert bph}That may sound a bit alarmist, but it's not a new notion. The Georgia Guidestones, a New Age monument, said to have the New Age Globalist Manifesto written on it, declares that one of their goals is to bring the world population down to 500 million people, about one-fourteenth of

what it is today.

Interestingly enough, that same figure shows up in the United Nations Agenda 21. Agenda 21 purports itself to be a “voluntary action plan for sustainable development.” One hundred, seventy-eight countries have signed it, including the United States. Surprisingly, it was President Bush who signed it, not President Obama.

It takes a while to dig through Agenda 21 and gain any understanding of it, but it basically herds all of mankind into big cities, leaving the rest of the world to return back to nature.

Okay, so what does this have to do with the EPA? Since “sustainability” is a catch phrase for “protect the environment at all costs” it has a lot to do with the EPA. Essentially, the EPA is the government agency which has the greatest responsibility for implementing Agenda 21. They are coordinating the efforts of other government agencies, such as the BLM (Bureau of Land Management) to find ways of implementing the necessary changes so that Agenda 21 can be put into full effect.

[Remember Clive Bundy and his ranch?](#) That was the BLM working on a land grab. One thing that was hardly mentioned during that debate is that the BLM had already managed to put all the other ranchers in that area out of business; Bundy was the last man standing. They wanted to clear Bundy out, so that they could turn that area back over to nature as a refuge for the desert tortoise.

That’s bad enough, but the latest action by the EPA is even worse. The EPA is working to redefine the Clean Water Act, which gives them authority to regulate wetlands and waterways. Under the new definition, they would also have control over any lands which have temporary wetlands, as well as all tributaries that feed into waterways, even temporary

tributaries.

That doesn't sound so bad, until you understand what it means. We've already seen some of their definition, by how they've been attacking citizens, essentially implementing these new definitions before they are officially accepted.

A "temporary wetland" is anywhere that there is water present only part of the year. Let me ask you a question; is there any time during the year, where water puddles in your yard? If so, you have a temporary wetland. According to the EPA's new definition, you don't have control over your land, they do. Basically, they own your land.

The same thing can be said for temporary tributaries. If you have anyplace on your land where water runs off when it rains, leaving any sort of a mark, that would be considered a temporary tributary. Likewise, drainage ditches and landscaping ponds would give them the right to your land.

Let me be clear about this. The EPA is trying to use this twisting of the law to confiscate land from law-abiding American citizens, who haven't done anything wrong. Their only justification is the way that they are writing regulations, nothing more. Congress hasn't given them this authority, they have seized it on their own, perhaps under the president's direction, perhaps not. Whether or not he has approved it really doesn't matter, as they know he supports Agenda 21.

About the only way that you could make sure that your property is safe from seizure under these new regulations is to make sure that no water could fall on it.

I suppose if you built a roof that extends from border to border of your property, forcing all water that falls there to run off onto your neighbors' property, you'd be safe. But then, all it would take is one leak in that roof and they'd have the loophole they need.

It is germane to note that this action is illegal. The Supreme Court has already ruled twice that the EPA's authority is limited to relatively permanent bodies of water, not temporary ones.

However, that doesn't meet the progressive agenda, nor does it allow them to implement Agenda 21. So you can be sure that they will keep on trying, regardless of whether their actions are legal or not.

**The media thinks you're
better off not knowing this**

Watch the video



*This article has been written by **Bill White** for [Survivopedia](#).*