

5 Deadly Myths Teens ACTUALLY Believe About The 2nd Amendment

2018-04-22 05:24:05 By Carmela Tyrell

There is no question that gun grabbers prefer to promote and use teens who are unaware of their Constitutional rights and the need to preserve them.

To those intent on destroying our nation, the further away we get from a more strict interpretation of the Constitution, the sooner we will be destroyed from within.

For teens that understand the Constitution as the foundation of our most important rights and the primary guideline for how our politicians treat us, it is going to be a lot of work to preserve what few rights we have left and regain all that has been lost. In particular, the way gun grabbers psychologically rape the public and manipulate political leaders should be a clear indicator of how the rest of our rights will be continually removed until our nation is destroyed. Here are 5 myths about the Second Amendment every teen should know about, and how to expose them for the false constructs they truly are.

Myth #1: The Founding Fathers Never Meant for the Masses to Have Guns

Aside from suffering from cognitive dissonance, gun grabbers have a habit of twisting both events and word meanings to advance their destructive agendas. Usually, to untangle the mess, you will need to use more than one or two sound bytes that sound good, but really don't have much weight, let alone truth. If there is one thing that pro-Second Amendment teens should focus on, it is counter memes that get the truth out and accurately disrupt the cognitive dissonance sown by gun grabbers.

As a case in point understanding the intent of the founding fathers takes some work to get at. We must start off by looking at the actual text of the Second Amendment which reads:

"A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

The Second Amendment, as with other parts of our Constitution has its origin in laws that were made for other governments. Our founders were philosophers and great thinkers that did not hesitate to communicate far and wide to find out what worked for other countries. In the case of the Second Amendment, they looked to the English Bill of Rights of 1689, which clearly meant for the people to have the right to bear arms for the sake of self defense. Based on this alone, it is obvious enough that our founding fathers meant for citizens to bear arms for self defense, and that both state and federal laws abridging those rights need to be overturned immediately instead of being expanded.

Not only did they engineer the birth of our nation and freedom from one of the greatest colonial powers on Earth, they had already gone through one failed attempt, the Continental Congress, when it came to forming our government. Anyone that says the our founding fathers were ignorant, narrow minded, or unable to grasp the ramifications of their decisions should be directed to read the life stories of these men, all the work they did to create the Constitution, and the life experiences they put into its design. Regardless of what their private lives have been like, and the mainstream views of the times on slavery, there is no question that when they came to the bargaining table to form the

Constitution, they tried (with the exception of slavery) to use the best ideas. They also gave us the tools for oversight should our society, with due reason and careful thought over time decide to make changes.

When a gun grabber talks about the word “militia” they often imply that this means the modern military with all of the specialized training and special weapons. On the other hand, during the time of the founding fathers of our nation, and on these lands, the “militia” was NOT the standing army. Rather, it was composed of minutemen. These were civilians that trained themselves, provided their own weapons, and were able to take part in operations to defend the land and people alongside the military forces. If anything, the very word “militia” doesn’t just guarantee the civilian a right to own a gun, it entitles every civilian and group of civilians to obtain, keep, and train with military grade weapons without interference or regulation from the federal government.

Not only was the Second Amendment designed to give people the right to own guns for self defense, it meant for people to form militias powerful enough and capable enough to act in place of the military on home grounds. If gun rights advocates and groups were savvy on this matter alone, there is case law that upholds the right of the common people to buy machine guns and other military grade weapons. For example, in *United States vs Miller*, the Supreme Court upheld the right of civilians to own machine guns so long as they were part of a militia. Since the militia is not the military, anyone can create one, add members, and should be able to own machine guns without further interference from the government.

When it comes to AR, AK and other improperly labeled “assault weapons”, it should be noted that the civilian police and many modern militias chose to buy them and own them for that purpose. Technically speaking, if the NRA and other gun rights organizations really wanted to force the issue on this matter alone, they could simply support militias within each state and simply say each of their members uses these arms for practice and attending to such duties that a militia of the people may be called upon to perform in a time of need. More than once, I have found the lack of usage of the formation of militias as a means to protect Second Amendment rights decidedly disturbing.

It should also be noted that in *United States v. Cruikshank*, the Supreme Court indicated that Congress had no right to infringe on the Second Amendment, however the states could. This would be, essentially reversed later on in the case of *McDonald vs. City of Chicago* (2010), in which the Supreme Court clearly stated that the Second Amendment is covered by the 14th Amendment, which in part states:

“All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”

As you will recall, the 4th Amendment of the Constitution defines due process of law:

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

Based on this and other evidence from the foundation of our nation and subsequent clarifications generated by the Supreme Court, all of the current "gun control" and "gun regulation" laws, including the "red flag" laws are, in violation of the 2nd, 4th, and 14th Amendments of the Constitution. But as you can see, it took almost 1000 words of text to even make a dent in all the false equivalences and cognitive dissonance created by gun grabbers. Try getting this kind of information across when people have the attention span of gnats. I sincerely wish the best of luck to those seeking to boil all this down into memes that will actually break through the cognitive dissonance and gun grabber emoting so that we can direct lawmakers to get rid of these laws and restore our rights.

Myth #2: The Founding Fathers Did Not Know About Machine Guns

Of all the specious arguments against modern guns, this is truly one of the worst. George Washington, one of the most respected authors of the Constitution wasn't just a soldier, he was a general that directed a tiny army and rag tag militia on to victory against the world's most superior military power. To say he had no knowledge or idea that modern guns would not come into being is truly a state of ignorance. As a case in point, during the times when the Constitution and the Bill of Rights were being written and ratified, machine guns were in their infancy. Here are several guns that go all the way back to the 1500s or were in use at the time that could easily have led the founding fathers to envision modern weapons:

- [Organ Guns](#) (1500s)
- Arquebus (1597)
- [Kalthoff Repeater](#) (1600s)
- [Cookson Repeater](#) (1600s)
- [The Puckle Gun](#) (1718)
- The Ferguson Rifle (In use by British forces in 1777)
- [The Belton Flintlock](#) (1777 – it is speculated this gun was capable of firing 20 shots in 5 seconds. With a few changes to accompany more ammo, that would mean 240 rounds per minute. This is actually beyond the range of an AR, which can only fire as fast as the shooter can [pull the trigger](#)).

Myth #3: Modern Education Provides More Information than Ever Before

Even though it seems like students have more homework and subjects to learn than ever, the fact is not much is actually of practical value when it comes to history and preserving our Second Amendment rights. If anything, schools are no longer teaching about points in history or current events that explain why the preservation of militias and having citizens armed with the same weapons as the military is so important. As a case in point, many pro-Second Amendment advocates point to Hitler, Stalin, and other heinous murderers that disarmed the people before carrying out their disgusting atrocities.

Recently a study released by the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany indicated that teens in the US have substandard knowledge of the Holocaust, while 58% of the population believe it can happen again. Clearly, teens and millennials that don't know how many people died in the Holocaust, let alone other attempts at genocide, are not likely to support the Second Amendment or understand why it is so important. Sadly, the education system that spawned this oversight is also likely to downplay self defense and the rights of an individual to protect themselves from criminals.

Myth #4: Problems Caused by Criminals Should Outweigh the Rights of

Innocent gun Owners

In order to get to the bottom of this myth, we must understand impact of:

- Cognitive Dissonance – In this case, gun grabbers are brainwashed into believing guns are inherently bad and no civilian should have them unless they are heavily regulated by the government. When the Common Core curriculums and schools use various manipulation techniques to guide the students to this conclusion, it is very hard for people to realize that “regulating people, not guns” is simply an end run to illegally regulate the right to keep and bear arms and misrepresent the definition of “militia”.

It should also be noted that cognitive dissonance will shape your actions during and after a crisis. Consider a situation where you have been told that white garments will protect you from the sun's radiation, but pink ones won't. Now let's also say that you know both garment colors are an option, and are available to you at all times. Suddenly, you are exposed to radiation from a solar flare. For the sake of this example, let's also say that the radiation is so severe your skin begins to turn red in a matter of seconds.

Because of false information generated by cognitive dissonance and the panic generated by the situation, you will more than likely pick the white garment instead of the pink one. This is more than likely exactly what we are seeing happen with gun grabber advocates that are survivors of a shootings. They have been schooled to believe “gun control” will stop these atrocities, and instinctively they promote this agenda despite compelling and overwhelming facts indicating gun grabber agendas do more harm than good.

- False Equivalency – Basically, two things that are actually very different are treated as if they are the same. As a case in point, gun grabbers who are also likely to protest against “police brutality” and police shootings basically put the police in the same category as the criminals that rape, kidnap, rob, and murder. Gun grabbers also often aim to take guns away from people that need them most, or try to intimidate them into not carrying. As a case in point, gun grabber laws make it illegal to own guns if you live in Section 8 housing where women are routinely raped and murdered in their own homes because criminals know they don't have guns.

Did you know that a woman in the United States has a higher risk of being raped ([1 out of every 5](#)) or a victim of sexual violence (approximately 1 out of every 3) than a deer has of being killed during hunting season ([1 out of every 5.6](#))? People, regardless of gender that are at high risk for being targets of criminals are frequently intimidated and lied to about how guns work. Those who spread lies about how “hard”, “awful”, or “nasty” it is to display or fire a gun are not the ones that will have to live with being raped or seeing a family member bludgeoned to death during a home invasion when a gun would have saved innocent lives and the sanctity of the victim's body. Consider, as a case in point, gun grabbing California Senator Yee who was arrested for trafficking in [“illegal gun\\$”](#). No doubt, during his tenure he was surrounded by body guards who were well armed even as he did everything he could to take away guns from women, the poor, and the homeless.

As I have said many times before, gun grabbers are one of the biggest sponsors of the rape culture. “Allowing” a woman free access to an abortion isn't the same as supporting and defending her Constitutional right to own and use a gun for self defense. Only in the mind of a gun grabber does a criminal have more rights than victims in a society where politicians and LEOs are bound by the 4th Amendment.

- [Subliminal Seduction](#) – this is a somewhat dated term used to define visual or auditory content that causes you to make decisions based on materials that you aren't consciously aware of. While earlier experiments appear to have been fudged, newer research in this field indicates it does work. When it comes to gun grabbers and their antics, the use of words like "Assault Rifle" to describe AR-15s, music types played when guns are show, and word choices are all used as subliminal influences. In fact, the constant barrage of using the words "Assault Rifle" may just be the reason why mass shooters are choosing this weapon specifically over others that are available!
- Sensationalism – The bottom line is blood all over the place, people screaming, and trauma evoke emotions. We feel visceral pain, grief, and a sense of horror when people are murdered, raped, and subject to evil violence. That doesn't mean it should be shoved down our throats in an effort to make a crime out of unregulated gun ownership and usage. That would be like outlawing and confiscating everyone's cell phone just because some people who text and drive cause death and injury to thousands each year.

Our founding fathers were aware of the impacts of sensationalism and violence. It wasn't just their job to write the Constitution, they had to argue for going to war, and, years later, the ratification of this document. Many of the authors such as Thomas Paine and other Federalists were masters in the art of using emotionalism paired with logic to achieve their goal. Without an understanding of sensationalism as a tool, it become very hard to form the memes necessary to break through the distortions created by gun grabbers.

Myth #5: Gun Control Reduces Homicides and Murders in Nations that Make Use of It

When gun grabbers realize that you may be onto their tactics, they use statistics. This includes pointing to countries like Australia where they say "gun control" is working. At the same time, they never talk about the genocide and other atrocities created by gun control even today. Here are three nations to consider:

- Australia – Even by their own admission, Australians will tell you that they really don't know if gun control is working or not. The laws were passed when crimes were already on a down turn. In addition, some speculate that Australia is actually awash in "illegal" guns and something must be done to actually enforce the laws. To add insult to injury, Australian statistics do not include gun related deaths of the aboriginal people. While progress is being made to stop the genocide against these people, thousands of deaths per year may have gone unreported during the years when "gun control" was touted as a success.
- China – Many people don't know how hard it is to live in China without winding up being executed by government officials. Consider Tiananmen Square and the ongoing genocide of the unarmed Tibetan people. These are the victims of gun control that no one wants to talk about.
- Nigeria – This nation has some of the strictest gun control laws on Earth. Since February 14th, in fact just 2 days after the Parkland FL massacre, 19 people in Nigeria were murdered when a Boko Haram suicide bomber blew him/herself up in a marketplace. Between then and now, again, as two other times in the past, Boko Haram had raided local villages and took hundreds of teen girls captive. The people cannot defend themselves, and the military and police can't either.

Did you know that the Constitution of the former USSR is almost exactly the same as the US

Constitution? Did you know that many other nations have adopted our Constitution, yet went on to circumvent Second Amendment rights? The resulting genocides and mayhem are not worth the false claims that we are safer without guns in the hands of civilians.

It is my hope that every person will keep this in mind and do their best to promote the Second Amendment and ensure that full rights are restored.



**Improve your chances
to survive a mass shooting event**

Decorated Green Beret Reveals
Spec Ops Tips

SEE HOW

Copyright :

All this contents are published under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 2.5 Generic License](#).

for reproduced, please specify from this website [Survivopedia](#) AND give the URL.

Article link : <https://www.survivopedia.com/?p=31024>